

The Beckman-Quarles theorem for continuous mappings from \mathbb{C}^n to \mathbb{C}^n

Apoloniusz Tyszk

Summary. Let $\varphi_n : \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $\varphi_n((x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n)) = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - y_i)^2$. We say that $f : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ preserves distance $d \in \mathbb{C}$, if for each $X, Y \in \mathbb{C}^n$ $\varphi_n(X, Y) = d^2$ implies $\varphi_n(f(X), f(Y)) = d^2$. We prove: if $n \geq 2$ and a continuous $f : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ preserves unit distance, then f has a form $I \circ \underbrace{(\rho, \dots, \rho)}_{n\text{-times}}$, where

$I : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is an affine mapping with orthogonal linear part and $\rho : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the identity or the complex conjugation. For $n \geq 3$ and bijective f the theorem follows from Theorem 2 in [8].

The classical Beckman-Quarles theorem states that each unit-distance preserving mapping from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 2$) is an isometry, see [1]-[5]. Let $\varphi_n : \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $\varphi_n((x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n)) = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - y_i)^2$. We say that $f : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ preserves distance $d \in \mathbb{C}$, if for each $X, Y \in \mathbb{C}^n$ $\varphi_n(X, Y) = d^2$ implies $\varphi_n(f(X), f(Y)) = d^2$. If $f : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ and for each $X, Y \in \mathbb{C}^n$ $\varphi_n(X, Y) = \varphi_n(f(X), f(Y))$, then f is an affine mapping with orthogonal linear part; it follows from a general theorem proved in [3, 58 ff], see also [4, p. 30].

Let $D(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^n)$ denote the set of all positive numbers d with the property:

if $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\varphi_n(X, Y) = d^2$, then there exists a finite set S_{XY} with $\{X, Y\} \subseteq S_{XY} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ such that any map $f : S_{XY} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ that preserves unit distance satisfies $\varphi_n(X, Y) = \varphi_n(f(X), f(Y))$.

Obviously, if $d \in D(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^n)$ and $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ preserves unit distance, then f preserves distance d .

Let $D(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^n)$ denote the set of all positive numbers d with the property:

if $X, Y \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\varphi_n(X, Y) = d^2$, then there exists a finite set S_{XY} with $\{X, Y\} \subseteq S_{XY} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ such that any map $f : S_{XY} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ that preserves unit distance satisfies $\varphi_n(X, Y) = \varphi_n(f(X), f(Y))$.

Obviously, if $d \in D(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^n)$ and $f : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ preserves unit distance, then f preserves distance d .

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 39B32, 51M05.

Key words and phrases: affine (semi-affine) isometry, affine (semi-affine) mapping with orthogonal linear part, Beckman-Quarles theorem, unit-distance preserving mapping.

If $d > 0$, $X, Y \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\varphi_n(X, Y) = d^2$, then there exists an affine $I : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $I((0, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-1 \text{ times}})) = X$, $I((d, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-1 \text{ times}})) = Y$, and a linear part of I is orthogonal. Hence,

$$(1) \quad D(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^n) \subseteq D(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^n).$$

The author proved in [9]:

$$(2) \quad D(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^n) \text{ is a dense subset of } (0, \infty) \text{ for each } n \geq 2.$$

From (2) we obtain ([9]):

(3) if $n \geq 2$ and a continuous $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ preserves unit distance, then f preserves all positive distances.

Obviously,

(4) if $n \geq 1$ and $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ preserves all positive distances, then there exists an affine $I : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ such that a linear part of I is orthogonal and $I|_{\mathbb{R}^n} = f$.

By (1) and (2):

$$(5) \quad D(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^n) \text{ is a dense subset of } (0, \infty) \text{ for each } n \geq 2.$$

As a corollary of (5) we obtain (cf. (3)):

Lemma 1. If $n \geq 2$ and a continuous $f : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ preserves unit distance, then f preserves all positive distances.

Proof. Let $d > 0$, $X, Y \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $\varphi_n(X, Y) = d^2$. By (5) there exists a sequence $\{d_m\}_{m=1,2,3,\dots}$ tending to d , where all d_m belong to $D(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^n)$. Since f and φ_n are continuous, and f preserves all distances d_m ($m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$),

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_n(f(X), f(Y)) &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n \left(f \left(\frac{d_m}{d} X \right), f \left(\frac{d_m}{d} Y \right) \right) = \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n \left(\frac{d_m}{d} X, \frac{d_m}{d} Y \right) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} d_m^2 = d^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\tau : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ denote the complex conjugation.

Theorem 1. If $n \geq 2$, $f : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ preserves unit distance and $f|_{\mathbb{R}^n} = \text{id}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $f(X) \in \{X, \underbrace{(\tau, \dots, \tau)(X)}_{n\text{-times}}\}$ for each $X \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

Proof. It is true if $X \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Assume now that $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $x_1 = a_1 + b_1 \cdot i$, ..., $x_n = a_n + b_n \cdot i$, where $a_1, b_1, \dots, a_n, b_n \in \mathbb{R}$. We choose $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $b_j \neq 0$. For $k \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{j\}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we define $S_k(t) = (s_{k,1}(t), \dots, s_{k,n}(t)) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} s_{k,j}(t) &= a_j + tb_k, \\ s_{k,k}(t) &= a_k - tb_j, \\ s_{k,i}(t) &= a_i, \text{ if } i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{j, k\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $t_0 = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2}{b_j^2}}$. For each $k \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{j\}$ and all $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\varphi_n((x_1, \dots, x_n), S_k(t)) = t^2(b_j^2 + b_k^2) - \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2.$$

By this, for each $k \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{j\}$ and all $t \geq t_0$

$$\varphi_n((x_1, \dots, x_n), S_k(t)) \geq 0.$$

By **(5)**:

(6) for each $k \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{j\}$ the set

$$A_k(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \{t \in \mathbb{R} : \varphi_n((x_1, \dots, x_n), S_k(t)) \in D(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^n)\}$$

is a dense subset of (t_0, ∞) .

Let $f((x_1, \dots, x_n)) = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$. Since f preserves all distances in $D(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^n)$, for each $k \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{j\}$ and all $t \in A_k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$

$$\varphi_n((x_1, \dots, x_n), S_k(t)) = \varphi_n(f((x_1, \dots, x_n)), f(S_k(t))) = \varphi_n((y_1, \dots, y_n), S_k(t)).$$

Hence, for each $k \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{j\}$ and all $t \in A_k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$

$$t^2(b_j^2 + b_k^2) - \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2 = (y_j - a_j - tb_k)^2 + (y_k - a_k + tb_j)^2 + \sum_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{j, k\}} (y_i - a_i)^2.$$

Thus, for each $k \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{j\}$ and all $t \in A_k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - a_i)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2 = 2t \cdot (b_k(y_j - a_j) - b_j(y_k - a_k)).$$

Hence by **(6)**:

$$\textbf{(7)} \quad y_k - a_k = \frac{b_k}{b_j} \cdot (y_j - a_j) \text{ for each } k \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{j\}$$

and

$$\textbf{(8)} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - a_i)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2 = 0.$$

Applying **(7)** to **(8)** we obtain

$$(y_j - a_j)^2 + \sum_{k \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{j\}} \frac{b_k^2}{b_j^2} \cdot (y_j - a_j)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2 = 0.$$

It gives $\left(\frac{(y_j - a_j)^2}{b_j^2} + 1\right) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2 = 0$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2 \neq 0$, we get

$$\underbrace{y_j = a_j + b_j \cdot \mathbf{i} = x_j}_{\text{case 1}} \quad \text{or} \quad \underbrace{y_j = a_j - b_j \cdot \mathbf{i} = \tau(x_j)}_{\text{case 2}}.$$

In case 1, by **(7)** for each $k \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{j\}$

$$y_k = a_k + \frac{b_k}{b_j} \cdot (y_j - a_j) = a_k + \frac{b_k}{b_j} \cdot (a_j + b_j \cdot \mathbf{i} - a_j) = a_k + b_k \cdot \mathbf{i} = x_k.$$

In case 2, by **(7)** for each $k \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{j\}$

$$y_k = a_k + \frac{b_k}{b_j} \cdot (y_j - a_j) = a_k + \frac{b_k}{b_j} \cdot (a_j - b_j \cdot \mathbf{i} - a_j) = a_k - b_k \cdot \mathbf{i} = \tau(x_k).$$

The proof is completed.

Let $n \geq 2$, $f : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ preserves unit distance, $f|_{\mathbb{R}^n} = \text{id}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We would like to prove: $f = \text{id}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ or $f = \underbrace{(\tau, \dots, \tau)}_{n\text{-times}}$; we will prove it later in Theorem 2.

By Theorem 1 the sets

$$\mathbf{A} = \{X \in \mathbb{C}^n : f(X) = X\}$$

and

$$\mathbf{B} = \{X \in \mathbb{C}^n : f(X) = \underbrace{(\tau, \dots, \tau)}_{n\text{-times}}(X)\}$$

satisfy $\mathbf{A} \cup \mathbf{B} = \mathbb{C}^n$.

$$\text{Let } \psi_n : \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \psi_n((x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n)) = \sum_{k=1}^n \text{Im}(x_k) \cdot \text{Im}(y_k).$$

Lemma 2. If $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n \in \mathbb{C}$, $\varphi_n((x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n)) = 1$ and $\psi_n((x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n)) \neq 0$, then

$$(9) \quad (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbf{A} \text{ implies } (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{A}$$

and

$$(10) \quad (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbf{B} \text{ implies } (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{B}.$$

Proof. We prove only **(9)**, the proof of **(10)** follows analogically. Assume, on the contrary, that $(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbf{A}$ and $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \notin \mathbf{A}$. Since $\mathbf{A} \cup \mathbf{B} = \mathbb{C}^n$, $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{B}$. Let $x_1 = a_1 + b_1 \cdot \mathbf{i}, \dots, x_n = a_n + b_n \cdot \mathbf{i}$, $y_1 = \tilde{a}_1 + \tilde{b}_1 \cdot \mathbf{i}, \dots, y_n = \tilde{a}_n + \tilde{b}_n \cdot \mathbf{i}$, where $a_1, b_1, \dots, a_n, b_n, \tilde{a}_1, \tilde{b}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_n, \tilde{b}_n \in \mathbb{R}$. Since f preserves unit distance,

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi_n((x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n)) &= \\ \varphi_n(f((x_1, \dots, x_n)), f((y_1, \dots, y_n))) &= \sum_{k=1}^n (a_k - b_k \cdot \mathbf{i} - \tilde{a}_k - \tilde{b}_k \cdot \mathbf{i})^2. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously,

$$(12) \quad \varphi_n((x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n)) = \sum_{k=1}^n (a_k + b_k \cdot \mathbf{i} - \tilde{a}_k - \tilde{b}_k \cdot \mathbf{i})^2.$$

Subtracting **(11)** and **(12)** by sides we obtain

$$4 \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \tilde{b}_k + 4 \sum_{k=1}^n b_k (a_k - \tilde{a}_k) \cdot \mathbf{i} = 0,$$

so in particular $\psi_n((x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n)) = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \tilde{b}_k = 0$, a contradiction.

The next lemma is obvious.

Lemma 3. For each $S, T \in \mathbb{R}^n$ there exist $m \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and $P_1, \dots, P_m \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\|S - P_1\| = \|P_1 - P_2\| = \dots = \|P_{m-1} - P_m\| = \|P_m - T\| = 1$.

Lemma 4. For each $X \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\underbrace{(\mathbf{i}, \dots, \mathbf{i})}_{n\text{-times}} \in \mathbf{A} \text{ implies } X \in \mathbf{A}$$

and

$$\underbrace{(\mathbf{i}, \dots, \mathbf{i})}_{n\text{-times}} \in \mathbf{B} \text{ implies } X \in \mathbf{B}.$$

Proof. Let $X = (a_1 + b_1 \cdot \mathbf{i}, \dots, a_n + b_n \cdot \mathbf{i})$, where $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathbb{R}$. We choose $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $b_j \neq 0$. The points

$$S = \left(a_1 + \sqrt{\frac{1 + (b_j - 1)^2}{n - 1}}, \dots, a_{j-1} + \sqrt{\frac{1 + (b_j - 1)^2}{n - 1}}, \right. \\ \left. \underbrace{a_j + \sqrt{1 + \sum_{k \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{j\}} b_k^2}}_{j\text{-th coordinate}}, \right. \\ \left. a_{j+1} + \sqrt{\frac{1 + (b_j - 1)^2}{n - 1}}, \dots, a_n + \sqrt{\frac{1 + (b_j - 1)^2}{n - 1}} \right)$$

and $T = (0, \dots, 0, \underbrace{\sqrt{n}}_{j\text{-th coordinate}}, 0, \dots, 0)$ belong to \mathbb{R}^n . Applying Lemma 3 we find $m \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and $P_1, \dots, P_m \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying $\|S - P_1\| = \|P_1 - P_2\| = \dots = \|P_{m-1} - P_m\| = \|P_m - T\| = 1$. The points

$$X_1 = X,$$

$$X_2 = \left(a_1, \dots, a_{j-1}, \underbrace{a_j + \sqrt{1 + \sum_{k \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{j\}} b_k^2} + b_j \cdot \mathbf{i}}_{j\text{-th coordinate}}, a_{j+1}, \dots, a_n \right),$$

$$X_3 = S + \left(0, \dots, 0, \underbrace{\mathbf{i}}_{j\text{-th coordinate}}, 0, \dots, 0 \right) =$$

$$\left(a_1 + \sqrt{\frac{1 + (b_j - 1)^2}{n - 1}}, \dots, a_{j-1} + \sqrt{\frac{1 + (b_j - 1)^2}{n - 1}}, \right. \\ \left. \underbrace{a_j + \sqrt{1 + \sum_{k \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{j\}} b_k^2}}_{j\text{-th coordinate}} + \mathbf{i}, \right. \\ \left. a_{j+1} + \sqrt{\frac{1 + (b_j - 1)^2}{n - 1}}, \dots, a_n + \sqrt{\frac{1 + (b_j - 1)^2}{n - 1}} \right),$$

$$X_4 = P_1 + (0, \dots, 0, \underbrace{\mathbf{i}}_{j\text{-th coordinate}}, 0, \dots, 0),$$

$$X_5 = P_2 + (0, \dots, 0, \underbrace{\mathbf{i}}_{j\text{-th coordinate}}, 0, \dots, 0),$$

$$\dots \dots \dots$$

$$X_{m+3} = P_m + (0, \dots, 0, \underbrace{\mathbf{i}}_{j\text{-th coordinate}}, 0, \dots, 0),$$

$$X_{m+4} = T + (0, \dots, 0, \underbrace{\mathbf{i}}_{j\text{-th coordinate}}, 0, \dots, 0) = (0, \dots, 0, \underbrace{\sqrt{n} + \mathbf{i}}_{j\text{-th coordinate}}, 0, \dots, 0),$$

$$X_{m+5} = (\underbrace{\mathbf{i}, \dots, \mathbf{i}}_{n\text{-times}})$$

belong to \mathbb{C}^n and satisfy:

$$\varphi_n(X_{k-1}, X_k) = 1 \text{ for each } k \in \{2, 3, \dots, m+5\}, \psi_n(X_1, X_2) = b_j^2 \neq 0, \psi_n(X_2, X_3) = b_j \neq 0, \psi_n(X_{k-1}, X_k) = 1 \text{ for each } k \in \{4, 5, \dots, m+5\}.$$

By Lemma 2 for each $k \in \{2, 3, \dots, m+5\}$

$$X_k \in \mathbf{A} \text{ implies } X_{k-1} \in \mathbf{A}$$

and

$$X_k \in \mathbf{B} \text{ implies } X_{k-1} \in \mathbf{B}.$$

Therefore, $(\underbrace{\mathbf{i}, \dots, \mathbf{i}}_{n\text{-times}}) = X_{m+5} \in \mathbf{A}$ implies $X = X_1 \in \mathbf{A}$, and also, $(\underbrace{\mathbf{i}, \dots, \mathbf{i}}_{n\text{-times}}) =$

$X_{m+5} \in \mathbf{B}$ implies $X = X_1 \in \mathbf{B}$.

Theorem 2. If $n \geq 2$, $f : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ preserves unit distance and $f|_{\mathbb{R}^n} = \text{id}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $f = \text{id}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ or $f = (\underbrace{\tau, \dots, \tau}_{n\text{-times}})$.

Proof. By Lemma 4

$$(\underbrace{\mathbf{i}, \dots, \mathbf{i}}_{n\text{-times}}) \in \mathbf{A} \text{ implies } \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \mathbb{R}^n \subseteq \mathbf{A}$$

and

$$\underbrace{(\mathbf{i}, \dots, \mathbf{i})}_{n\text{-times}} \in \mathbf{B} \text{ implies } \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \mathbb{R}^n \subseteq \mathbf{B}.$$

Obviously, $\mathbb{R}^n \subseteq \mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbb{R}^n \subseteq \mathbf{B}$. Therefore,

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbb{C}^n \text{ and } f = \text{id}(\mathbb{C}^n), \text{ if } \underbrace{(\mathbf{i}, \dots, \mathbf{i})}_{n\text{-times}} \in \mathbf{A},$$

and also,

$$\mathbf{B} = \mathbb{C}^n \text{ and } f = (\underbrace{\tau, \dots, \tau}_{n\text{-times}}), \text{ if } \underbrace{(\mathbf{i}, \dots, \mathbf{i})}_{n\text{-times}} \in \mathbf{B}.$$

Theorem 2 has a simpler proof under the additional assumption that f is continuous. We need a topological lemma.

Lemma 5. $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \mathbb{R}^n$ is connected for each $n \geq 2$.

Proof. Let $X = (a_1 + b_1 \cdot \mathbf{i}, \dots, a_n + b_n \cdot \mathbf{i}) \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \mathbb{R}^n$, where $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathbb{R}$. We choose $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $b_j \neq 0$. Then

$$Y := \left(0, \dots, 0, \underbrace{\frac{b_j}{|b_j|} \cdot \mathbf{i}}_{j\text{-th coordinate}}, 0, \dots, 0 \right) \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \mathbb{R}^n$$

and the segments $\underbrace{(\mathbf{i}, \dots, \mathbf{i})}_{n\text{-times}} Y$ and YX are disjoint from \mathbb{R}^n . These segments form a path joining $\underbrace{(\mathbf{i}, \dots, \mathbf{i})}_{n\text{-times}}$ and X , X is an arbitrary point in $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \mathbb{R}^n$. It proves that $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \mathbb{R}^n$ is connected.

Since $\text{id}(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $(\underbrace{\tau, \dots, \tau}_{n\text{-times}})|_{\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \mathbb{R}^n}$ are continuous, for continuous f in Theorem 2

$$\mathbf{A} \setminus \mathbb{R}^n = \{X \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \mathbb{R}^n : f(X) = X\}$$

and

$$\mathbf{B} \setminus \mathbb{R}^n = \{X \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \mathbb{R}^n : f(X) = (\underbrace{\tau, \dots, \tau}_{n\text{-times}})(X)\}$$

are the closed subsets of $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \mathbb{R}^n$. Obviously,

$$(\mathbf{A} \setminus \mathbb{R}^n) \cup (\mathbf{B} \setminus \mathbb{R}^n) = \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \mathbb{R}^n$$

and

$$(\mathbf{A} \setminus \mathbb{R}^n) \cap (\mathbf{B} \setminus \mathbb{R}^n) = \emptyset.$$

Hence by Lemma 5

$$\mathbf{A} \setminus \mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \mathbb{R}^n \text{ or } \mathbf{B} \setminus \mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Thus,

$$A = \mathbb{C}^n \quad \text{and} \quad f = \text{id}(\mathbb{C}^n)$$

or

$$B = \mathbb{C}^n \quad \text{and} \quad f = \underbrace{(\tau, \dots, \tau)}_{n\text{-times}}.$$

Theorem 3. If $n \geq 2$ and $f : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ preserves all positive distances, then f has a form $I \circ \underbrace{(\rho, \dots, \rho)}_{n\text{-times}}$, where $\rho \in \{\text{id}(\mathbb{C}), \tau\}$ and $I : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is an affine mapping with orthogonal linear part.

Proof. By (4) there exists an affine $I : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ such that a linear part of I is orthogonal and $I|_{\mathbb{R}^n} = f|_{\mathbb{R}^n}$. Then $I^{-1} \circ f : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ preserves all positive distances, $(I^{-1} \circ f)|_{\mathbb{R}^n} = \text{id}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By Theorem 2 $I^{-1} \circ f = \text{id}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ or $I^{-1} \circ f = \underbrace{(\tau, \dots, \tau)}_{n\text{-times}}$. In the first case $f = I \circ \underbrace{(\text{id}(\mathbb{C}), \dots, \text{id}(\mathbb{C}))}_{n\text{-times}}$, in the second case $f = I \circ \underbrace{(\tau, \dots, \tau)}_{n\text{-times}}$.

As a corollary of Lemma 1 and Theorem 3 we get:

Theorem 4. If $n \geq 2$ and a continuous $f : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ preserves unit distance, then f has a form $I \circ \underbrace{(\rho, \dots, \rho)}_{n\text{-times}}$, where $\rho \in \{\text{id}(\mathbb{C}), \tau\}$ and $I : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is an affine mapping with orthogonal linear part.

Any bijective $f : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ ($n \geq 3$) that preserves unit distance has a form $I \circ (\rho, \dots, \rho)$, where $\rho : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a field isomorphism and $I : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is an affine mapping with orthogonal linear part; it follows from Theorem 2 in [8].

The author proved in [12]:

(13) each unit-distance preserving mapping from \mathbb{C}^2 to \mathbb{C}^2 has a form $I \circ (\rho, \rho)$, where $\rho : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a field homomorphism and $I : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ is an affine mapping with orthogonal linear part.

The first proof of (13) in [12] is based on the results of [10] and [11]. The second proof of (13) in [12] is based on the result of [7]. Obviously, for $n = 2$ Theorem 3 follows from (13).

If a continuous $\sigma : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a field homomorphism, then $(\sigma, \sigma) : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ is continuous and preserves unit distance, also $(\sigma, \sigma)((0, 0)) = (0, 0)$, $(\sigma, \sigma)((1, 0)) = (1, 0)$, $(\sigma, \sigma)((0, 1)) = (0, 1)$. Therefore, by Theorem 4 $\sigma = \text{id}(\mathbb{C})$ or $\sigma = \tau$. We have obtained an alternative geometric proof of a well-known result:

(14) the only continuous field endomorphisms of \mathbb{C} are $\text{id}(\mathbb{C})$ and τ .

An algebraic proof of (14) may be found in [6, Lemma 1, p. 356]. Conversely, for $n = 2$ Theorem 4 follows from (13) and (14).

Let $d \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\mathbb{C} \ni x \xrightarrow{\tau_d} \frac{d}{\tau(d)} \cdot \tau(x) \in \mathbb{C}$. As a consequence of Theorem 4 we get:

Theorem 5. If $n \geq 2$ and a continuous $f : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ preserves distance $d \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, then f has a form $I \circ \underbrace{(\rho, \dots, \rho)}_{n\text{-times}}$, where $\rho \in \{\text{id}(\mathbb{C}), \tau_d\}$ and $I : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is an affine mapping with orthogonal linear part.

Corollary. If $n \geq 2$, $d_1, d_2 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, $\frac{d_1^2}{d_2^2} \notin \mathbb{R}$ and a continuous $f : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ preserves distances d_1 and d_2 , then f is an affine mapping with orthogonal linear part.

Theorems 1–4 do not hold for $n = 1$ because the mapping $\mathbb{C} \ni z \longrightarrow z + \text{Im}(z) \in \mathbb{C}$ preserves all real distances. In case $n = 1$, there is an easy result:

Theorem 6. Let $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and for each $x, y \in \mathbb{C}$ $\varphi_1(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}$ implies $\varphi_1(x, y) = \varphi_1(f(x), f(y))$. Then f has a form $I \circ \rho$, where $\rho \in \{\text{id}(\mathbb{C}), \tau\}$ and $I : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an affine mapping with orthogonal linear part.

References

- [1] F. S. BECKMAN AND D. A. QUARLES JR., *On isometries of euclidean spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 4 (1953), 810–815.
- [2] W. BENZ, *An elementary proof of the theorem of Beckman and Quarles*, Elem. Math. 42 (1987), 4–9.
- [3] W. BENZ, *Geometrische Transformationen (unter besonderer Berücksichtigung der Lorentztransformationen)*, BI Wissenschaftsverlag, Mannheim, 1992.
- [4] W. BENZ, *Real geometries*, BI Wissenschaftsverlag, Mannheim, 1994.
- [5] U. EVERLING, *Solution of the isometry problem stated by K. Ciesielski*, Math. Intelligencer 10 (1988), no. 4, p. 47.
- [6] M. KUCZMA, *An introduction to the theory of functional equations and inequalities: Cauchy's equation and Jensen's inequality*, Polish Scientific Publishers and Silesian University, Warszawa–Kraków–Katowice, 1985.
- [7] H. SCHAEFFER, *Der Satz von Benz-Radó*, Aequationes Math. 31 (1986), 300–309.
- [8] E. M. SCHRÖDER, *Zur Kennzeichnung distanztreuer Abbildungen in nicht-euklidischen Räumen*, J. Geom. 15 (1980), no. 2, 108–118.
- [9] A. TYSZKA, *Beckman-Quarles type theorems for mappings from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{C}^n* , Aequationes Math. 67 (2004), 225–235.

- [10] A. TYSZKA, *The Beckman-Quarles theorem for mappings from \mathbb{R}^2 to \mathbb{F}^2 , where \mathbb{F} is a subfield of a commutative field extending \mathbb{R}* , Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 74 (2004), 77–87.
- [11] A. TYSZKA, *A discrete form of the Beckman-Quarles theorem for mappings from \mathbb{R}^2 (\mathbb{C}^2) to \mathbb{F}^2 , where \mathbb{F} is a subfield of a commutative field extending \mathbb{R} (\mathbb{C})*, J. Geom, to appear.
- [12] A. TYSZKA, *The Beckman-Quarles theorem for mappings from \mathbb{C}^2 to \mathbb{C}^2* , Acta Math. Acad. Paedagog. Nyházi. (N.S.) 21 (2005), no. 1 (electronic), to appear.

Apoloniusz Tyszką
 Technical Faculty
 Hugo Kołłątaj University
 Balicka 104, 30-149 Kraków, Poland
 E-mail address: *rttyszka@cyf-kr.edu.pl*